

SECRET

9-1806

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: Inspector General's Suggestion for  
Improvement of the Central Intelligence  
Agency

REFERENCE: My memorandum of 30 August 1957,  
same subject

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommendation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our proposal.

(Signed) FRANK G. WISNER

FRANK G. WISNER  
Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

*Detached  
Rgt*

In checking "red material" unable to locate the original of this document. Assume it was passed back by hand. No record whether approval all proposals made.

IG - 1957

SECRET

SECRET

DD/P Organization

1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the CS.

2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the CS be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.

a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.

b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.

c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in [redacted] (as

being revised) to identify substandard employees and

SECRET

notify them of their deficiencies. It may thereafter be necessary to rely upon the Director's special authority contained in Section 102 (c) of the National Security Act of 1947 to effect separation in the case of employees entitled to veteran preference where revelation of intelligence sources and methods is vital to the proof of the case.

d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.

e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.

3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the Cb. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

our activities. It will contribute to the clear definition of the functions of the staffs and their relation to the operating components. It will provide a structure for the efficient transaction of our business. When we have decided what this organization should be we shall submit our ideas for the Director's consideration.

25X1

9-7806

20110100

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence**

**SUBJECT: Inspector General's Suggestion for Improvement of the Central Intelligence Agency**

**REFERENCE: My memorandum of 30 August 1957, same subject**

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommendation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our proposal.

(Signed) FRANK G. WISNER

FRANK G. WISNER  
Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

*initials*  
R.H.

Distribution:

Orig. & 1: DDCI  
2: DD/P ✓  
1: C/FI  
1: C/I&R

25X1 DD/P-I&R [redacted]  
9 September 1957

~~SECRET~~

DD/P Organization

1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the CS.

2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the CS be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.

a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.

b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.

c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in [redacted] (as

being revised) to identify substandard employees and

~~SECRET~~

notify them of their deficiencies. It may thereafter be necessary to rely upon the Director's special authority contained in Section 102 (c) of the National Security Act of 1947 to effect separation in the case of employees entitled to veteran preference where revelation of intelligence sources and methods is vital to the proof of the case.

d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.

e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.

3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the CS. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

our activities. It will contribute to the clear definition of the functions of the staffs and their relation to the operating components. It will provide a structure for the efficient transaction of our business. When we have decided what this organization should be we shall submit our ideas for the Director's consideration.

UNCLASSIFIED

CIA INTERNAL

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003200160005-7

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

1R-3903

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Deputy Director (Plans)

NO.

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/FI

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

SECRET

25X1

9-7806

OCT 16 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: Inspector General's suggestion for  
Improvement of the Central Intelligence  
Agency

REFERENCE: My memorandum of 30 August 1957,  
same subject

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommendation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our proposal.

(Signed) FRANK G. WISNER

FRANK G. WISNER  
Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

*Initiated  
RN*

Distribution:

Orig. & 1: DDCI  
2: DD/P  
1: C/FI  
1: C/I&R

25X1 DD/P-I&R/ [redacted]  
9 September 1957

~~SECRET~~

DD/P Organization

1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the CS.

2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the CS be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.

a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.

b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.

c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in [redacted] as

being revised) to identify substandard employees and

SECRET

notify them of their deficiencies. It may thereafter be necessary to rely upon the Director's special authority contained in Section 102 (c) of the National Security Act of 1947 to effect separation in the case of employees entitled to veteran preference where revelation of intelligence sources and methods is vital to the proof of the case.

d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.

e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.

3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the Cb. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

~~SECRET~~

our activities. It will contribute to the clear definition of the functions of the staffs and their relation to the operating components. It will provide a structure for the efficient transaction of our business. When we have decided what this organization should be we shall submit our ideas for the Director's consideration.

25X1

9-7806

OCT 1 3 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: Inspector General's suggestion for  
Improvement of the Central Intelligence  
Agency

REFERENCE: My memorandum of 30 August 1957,  
same subject

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommendation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our proposal.

(Signed) FRANK G. WINNER

FRANK G. WINNER  
Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

*Initials  
JN*

Distribution:

Orig. & 1: DDCI  
2: DD/P  
1: C/FI  
1: C/I&R

X1

DD/P-I&R/   
9 September 1957

DD/P Organization

1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the CS.

2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the CS be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.

a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.

b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.

c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in [ ] as

being revised) to identify substandard employees and

notify them of their deficiencies. It may thereafter be necessary to rely upon the Director's special authority contained in Section 102 (c) of the National Security Act of 1947 to effect separation in the case of employees entitled to veteran preference where revelation of intelligence sources and methods is vital to the proof of the case.

d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.

e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.

3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the Co. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

our activities. It will contribute to the clear definition of the functions of the ~~staffs~~ and their relation to the operating components. It will provide a structure for the efficient transaction of our business. When we have decided what this organization should be we shall submit our ideas for the Director's consideration.

UNCLASSIFIED

CIA INTERNAL

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B016A0005-7

SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

1R-3903

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Deputy Director (Plans)

NO.

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/I&R

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	X	SECRET
--------------	--------------	---	--------

**CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY**  
**OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP**

TO:	NAME AND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE												
1	25X1 good 11/20/59														
2	25X1 good 11/20/59														
3	25X1 good 11/20/59														
4	25X1 good 11/20/59														
5	25X1 good 11/20/59														
6	25X1 good 11/20/59														
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>ACTION</td> <td>DIRECT REPLY</td> <td>PREPARE REPLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>APPROVAL</td> <td>DISPATCH</td> <td>RECOMMENDATION</td> </tr> <tr> <td>COMMENT</td> <td>FILE</td> <td>RETURN</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CONCURRENCE</td> <td>INFORMATION</td> <td>SIGNATURE</td> </tr> </table>				ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY													
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION													
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN													
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE													
25X1															

## Remarks:

--

25X1 We have checked practically through the entire agency for the original of this document - and, if [ ] memory serves her correctly, she recalls that Mr. [ ] was most interested in these replies from the Deputies and requested the DDCI office to send all such replies directly to him even tho addressed to Gen. Cabell. Would you mind checking to see whether or not you have such original?

--

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE

25 Feb 59

UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

<b>TRANSMITTAL SLIP</b>		DATE 7 Jan. 1959
TO: <input type="text"/>		
ROOM NO. 202	BUILDING Admin	
REMARKS:  Returned per our telephone conversation. Neither the I&R Staff nor the O/DDP has ever received the original of the attached document.		
25X1 <input type="text"/>		
FROM: I&R Staff		
ROOM NO. 2503	BUILDING K	EXTENSION <input type="text"/>
FORM NO. 241 1 FEB 55		REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED. (47)

25X1

<b>TRANSMITTAL SLIP</b>		DATE 1/2/59
TO: Mr. <input type="text"/> <i>Do/P</i>		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:  I have searched for the original of this document in O-DCI and am unable to locate it. I assume it has been returned your office.  I am returning the carbons with the request that you mark them with whatever action was taken by DCI, if any, and then make what distribution you think might be necessary. I believe the IG would like a copy of this merely as a matter of continuity.		
<input type="text"/>		
FROM:		
ROOM NO. 202m	BUILDING Admin	EXTENSION <input type="text"/>

25X1

25X1

ER:

Please put in file - I'll NEVER be able to find out whether this was signed or not, or where the original is - so just stick it away in file and we'll forget about it until some fine day when someone else might have a question on it.

25X1 [redacted] 1/18/61

25X1

Talked with Mr. [redacted] on this 12/1/59. He thinks [redacted] has the orig of this in his safe-safe. States this is academic now -- many of the recommendations have been or are being implemented in one form or another.

25X1

Hold for awhile yet.

mfb 12/1/59

To ER file on 18 Jan 1961  
since unable to determine  
where orig. is.  
[redacted]

25X1

18 Jan 61